

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,096	07/08/2003	Dmitry Oleynikov	UNMC/0006	9525
7590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, LLP			IP, SHIK LUEN PAUL	
Suite 1500 3040 Post Oak Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77056-6582			2837	
	DATE MA		DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/616,096	OLEYNIKOV ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Paul Ip	2837	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Description</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-		is
Disposit	ion of Claims	,		
5)□ 6)☑ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-14,16-19 and 23-28 is/are rejected	wn from consideration. d.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable acceptable and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	• •	A) [] 1	· (DTO 442)	• .
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	•	

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-14, 16-19, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mullick et al. (US 2003/0167000 A1, Provisional Application No. 60/180,960 filed on Feb. 8, 2000).

With respect to claims 1, 13, 14, 16-17, 23, 24, 26, 27, and 28, Mullick et al show in figures 2-14B mobile micro-robots for use inside an animal or human body during minimally invasive surgery or diagnostic operations. Mullick et al show in figure 1 a body in the form as an ingestible capsule 12, a mobilization element (see figures 6 and 7 elements 140, 142, 160), a remote control device [0071], a manipulator arm (see figure 10 element 300 and figure 11 element 400) [0080], a power supply 22, and at least one sensor 14 (see the abstract).

With respect to claim 3, Mullick et al show in figures 2-14B that the micro-robot body is shaped like a cylinder.

With respect to claims 4-6, Mullick et al show in figure 6 the wheels 140 and 142 have treads.

With respect to claims 7-9, 18, and 19, Mullick et al show in figure 1 that the remote control is wireless.

With respect to claims 10-11, Mullick et al show in figure 6 wheels 140 and 142.

Mullick et al disclose at [0071] the wheels but silent about the actuator. However, it is inherent to use the actuator or motor such as brushless direct current motor or permanent magnet motor as recited in the claim for the best result of the mobility functions.

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to claim 12, Mullick et al show in figure 1 the internal power supply. Since the mobile micro-robot is used inside an animal body as recited at the preamble, it defeats the purpose of remote control with an external power supply, it is not limit Mullick et al's internal power supply cannot be used for external.

With respect to claim 25, Mullick et al show in figure 11 that the arm is articulated.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-14, 16-19, and 23-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Kim et al fails to disclose a manipulator arm having a free end movable to assist in surgical taskes. Mullick et al teaches and suggests a free end movable arm can include an extendable biopsy forceps...etc See paragraphs [0080] [0081].

Furthermore, the claims of the invention recite a micro robot structure, such as shown in the scientific movie journey in human body for performing diagnostic operation or therapeutic operation, the micro robot is well known in the art. The claims fail to particular define the structures as shown in figures 1-5 and 8-15 of the invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Citation of Pertinent References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents/publications to Sunaoshi 2004/0254680, Kim et al 2002/0173700, Kim et al 2002/0171385, Kim et al 2002/0111535, Sproul 6,832,988, Kim et al 6,824,510, Kim et al

6,824,508, Kim et al 6,702,734, and Salcudean et al 5,382,885, disclose micro-robot/robot control device with articulated arm for surgical operations.

Communication Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Ip whose telephone number is (571)-272-1941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (571)-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Ip

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2837